The Monkeypox Are Coming, Or Not?

Description

Conspiracy (Theory) for Advanced Learners â?? Part 2

In June 2022, I discussed the monkeypox tabletop exercise from the Munich Security Conference of November 2021 in my blog â??Monkeypox â?? Conspiracy (Theory) for Advanced Learnersâ?? and suggested a prospective test. It took a while, but it appears my considerations at the time werenâ??t so misguided. I had written that if the notion holds that these pandemics and their precursor games are cleverly concocted plans, we would soon witness a declaration of a global emergency (PHEIC), alarmist press releases, possibly about a genetically modified monkeypox virus.

Initially, it seemed I was mistaken, as the monkeypox alarmism quickly subsided. However, monkeypox has returned. The WHO Director-General has consulted his shamans, who have whispered to him: a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC). This mechanism empowers the WHO to make recommendations to governments.

We hear: altered genes have apparently been found in the monkeypox virus, once again hinting at biological tampering.

Martin Neil, a British mathematician and statistician who runs the blog â??Where are the numbers?â?? and who regularly lambasts the British government and its Office of National Statistics for their disgraceful handling of numbers, has just written a very interesting blog post that I highly recommend. He reports that the private (!) survey organization YouGov in England has launched a monkeypox survey. They are polling the population about their fears, opinions, willingness to comply with lockdowns, or to take vaccines. The survey is currently ongoing, so the data is not final. However, anyone who participates essentially gets the current status compared to their own input.

I summarise the key results as reported by Martin Neil in the following table. For more detailed information, visit his post, where all data is available. I am only reporting the percentages of extreme positions.

Question	Yes	No
Trust in the WHO?	22%	29%

Â© Prof. Harald Walach

Question	Yes	No
Fear of the spread of monkeypox?	11%	30%
Support for lockdown or social distancing in the event of an outbreak?	25%	40%
Willingness to take the vaccine?	36%	31%
Do the media exaggerate?	47%	12%
Will monkeypox become a global pandemic?	8%	37%
Acceptance of public health measures like lockdown compared to Covid?	14%	53%
Is England now better prepared for a pandemic?	29%	19%

Table â?? YouGov Survey in England on Monkeypox; Current Status According to Martin Neil, No Final Data, Only Extreme Support and Rejection Reported in Percentages

If someone has planned this in the background, then these data prove that the planning has not worked well, at least not in England and not with this sample, whose representativeness we do not know.

But the fact that someone is making the effort to obtain such data shows one thing: they do not want to be completely in the dark. Robert Malone, who is usually well-informed, does not believe that monkeypox will lead to a major pandemic event. I hope he is right.

At least, the forced introduction of â??vaccinationsâ?? and a completely new genetic platform during the COVID-19 pandemic was definitely a behind-the-scenes agreement. The RKI protocols show that the ministry in Germany, by artificially maintaining emergency regimes and lockdowns, has deliberately worn down the population to increase â??vaccination readiness.â?? And the judiciary has completely failed during this time, except for a few exceptions, says constitutional lawyer Boehme-NeÃ?ler.

But the crucial data comes from one of my interviews. A physician practicing in the USA told me that the Medical Board, i.e., the medical supervisory authority, had already sent a letter to all physicians in the US in October/November 2019, prohibiting the prescription of Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine and that all stocks were confiscated and stored at the CDC in Georgia and the NIH in Bethesda, Maryland; violations of this order were threatened with the revocation of licenses. My interviewee told me that many doctors in the USA had successfully treated COVID-19 with these substances, which they sourced abroad.

The ban on potentially effective conventional substances was the essential prerequisite for an â??emergency use authorizationâ??, i.e., a rapid, emergency-related simplification of the approval of the new mod-RNA substances. This bureaucratic act of banning potentially effective substances prior to the COVID-19 pandemic leads me to only one conclusion: someone knew exactly that this pandemic was rolling towards us and saw it as a good opportunity to finally bring the new genetic interventions that had been long in the pipeline to market without extensive testing through approval. The commodification, i.e., the economic exploitation of the human body, has begun on a global scale, unprecedented in scope.

If anyone has a different interpretation that makes sense, please let me know. Perhaps my information is incorrect, and these letters were not sent? That would also be very interesting to me. But if this information is correct, and I have no reason to distrust my informant, then this is clear evidence of a rigged game in the background.

And if that is the case, we can expect this game to continue. Either until more people wake up and stop participating, or the players achieve their goal.

I find the English data encouraging. Apparently, people are less willing to be led astray. Hopefully, this is also the case here.

Â© Prof. Harald Walach

Epilogue

As a small epilogue, I offer the following consideration: There are very few situations in human history where upheavals have occurred without behind-the-scenes agreements, without actors stating something different outwardly than what they planned internally (â??No one has the intention of building a wallâ??). This is commonly called politics and diplomacy. Perhaps one of the few counterexamples that come to mind is the peaceful November Revolution that heralded the downfall of the GDR. But even that would likely not have occurred without bloodshed without agreements within the Soviet nomenclature. All other major events of the 20th and 21st centuries, especially wars and coups, even those that have failed, are due to secret agreements or their misinterpretations.

9/11 and the Afghanistan War: secret machinations of a crew of crazy Islamists and perhaps a bit of help from â??chance.â??

Gulf War I: Incubator lie and secret plans in the background.

Iraq War: Lies about Weapons of Mass Destruction that did not exist.

The secret agreements and memos that the CIA and American politics used after World War II to win the Cold War and become the unchallenged hegemonic power are numerous and well documented in the relevant literature [1-10].

Thus, it holds: Anyone who labels others with the derogatory slogan â??conspiracy theoristâ?? to disregard their arguments shows that they have little understanding of history and pursue political intentions. And a press that uses this expression to deflect and deny discourse disqualifies itself, in my view. Even if it seems to be a consensus. For this consensus also applies the aphorism with which one can summarize Ludwik Fleckâ??s insights: A (scientific) fact is the agreement to stop thinking [11]. One can reformulate this: A scientific, societal, or political consensus is the agreement to stop thinking. This can be done. But one should be aware of this and not pretend to be on the moral high ground or more intelligent.

References

1. Talbot D. The Devilâ??s Chessboard: Allen Dulles, the CIA, and the Rise of Americaâ??s Secret Government. London: Collins; 2015.
2. BrÃ¶ckers M. JFK â?? Staatsstreich in Amerika. Frankfurt: Westend; 2023, orig. 2017.
3. Ganser D. Imperium USA. Die skrupellose Weltmacht. ZÃ¼rich: Orell FÃ¼ssli; 2020.
4. Ellsberg D. Secrets: A Memoir of Vietnam and the Pentagon Papers. New York: Viking; 2002.
5. Snowden E. Permanent Record. New York: Holt; 2019.
6. Roth J. Der tiefe Staat: Die Unterwanderung der Demokratie durch Geheimdienste, politische Komplizen und den rechten Mob. MÃ¼nchen: Heyne; 2016.
7. Igel R. Terrorjahre: die dunkle Seite der CIA in Italien. MÃ¼nchen: Herbig; 2006.
8. Trento JJ. The Secret History of the CIA. Roseville, CA: Prima Publishing; 2001.
9. Wise D, Ross T. The Invisible Government. London: Jonathan Cape; 1965.
10. Morley J. The Ghost: The Secret Life of CIA Spymaster James Jesus Angleton. New York: St.Martinâ??s Press; 2017.
11. Fleck L. Entstehung und Entwicklung einer wissenschaftlichen Tatsache. EinfÃ¼hrung in die Lehre vom Denkstil und Denkkollektiv. Mit einer Einleitung herausg. v. L. SchÃ¤fer und T. Schnelle. Frankfurt:

Â© Prof. Harald Walach

Suhrkamp. (Original erschienen 1935); 1980 1980/ /.

Date Created
27.08.2024

Â© Prof. Harald Walach